



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,005	10/22/2003	Jason A. Sullivan	11072.8	8524

7590 08/30/2006

KIRTON & McCONKIE
1800 Eagle Gate Tower
60 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

EXAMINER

CHANG, YEAN HSI

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2835

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/692,005	Applicant(s) SULLIVAN, JASON A.	
	Examiner Yean-Hsi Chang	Art Unit 2835	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is based on the amendment filed on 8/18/2006, however, Applicants are kindly reminded that this amendment is for claims filed on 10/22/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-7 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harshberger et al. (US 5,311,397).

Harshberger teaches a robust customizable computing system comprising: a processing control unit (20, fig. 1) having a non-peripheral based encasement (30) and an electrical printed circuit board configuration (shown in figs. 9 and 10) that comprises multiple interconnected boards (for example, 132 and 164), an external object (24), and means (for example, 166) for operably connecting said processing control unit to said external object, said processing control unit introducing intelligence into said external object and causing said external object to perform smart functions (claims 1, 3 and 15); the non-peripheral based encasement module (20) comprising: a main support chassis (30) having a plurality of wall supports (for example, 32) and a plurality of junction

Art Unit: 2835

centers (for example, at locations of 50 and 52) containing means (50 and 52) for supporting a computer component (fig. 9) therein, a dynamic back plane (36) that provides support for connecting peripheral and other computing components (see fig. 5, and col. 3, line 62 through col. 4, line 4) directly to a system bus without requiring an interface, means for enclosing said main support chassis (fig. 1) and providing access (40) to an interior portion of said non-peripheral based encasement, one or more computer processing components disposed within said junction centers of said non-peripheral based encasement (see fig. 9), and means (46 and 48) for cooling said interior portion of said non-peripheral based encasement (claim 2); at least one other processing control unit (shown in fig. 15) operably connected to said external object (claim 4); wherein said processing control unit comprises a load bearing structure (shown in figs. 1 and 2) (claim 5); wherein said means for operably connecting comprises a direct connection via a universal port (166) formed in a dynamic back plane (118) on said processing control unit (claim 6); wherein said means for operably connecting comprises a wired connection (fig. 10) that connects to a port (166) of said processing control unit (claim 7); wherein said means for operably connecting comprises means (218) for engaging the external object (claim 9); wherein said means for engaging an external object comprises a slide receiver (54) formed on said processing control unit that functions to receive a matching insert located on the external object (claim 10); wherein said external object is selected from the group consisting of any object, system, device, apparatus, component, structure, component of a structure, item of manufacture, and inanimate object (see fig. 1) (claim 11); wherein

Art Unit: 2835

said external object comprises a workstation computer having snap-on peripheral devices that operably connect to said processing control unit (referring fig. 15, consider POS #1 as the processing control unit, and 24 of a workstation computer at file server as the external object having a snap-on peripheral 26) (claim 12); wherein said external object comprises circuitry (214), such that said processing control unit operably connects to said circuitry (see fig. 13) (claim 13); wherein said electrical printed circuit board configuration of said processing control unit is a tri-board (132, 164 and board of 142) (claim 14); and a method for introducing intelligence into the external object being disclosed in the specification (claims 16-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harshberger et al. in view of Dickie (US 2004/0268005 A1).

Harshberger discloses the claimed invention except the means for operably connecting comprising wireless connection.

Dickie teaches a processing control unit (102), an external object (104), and means (420) for operably connecting said processing control unit to said external object comprising a wireless connection (the connection may be wireless as stated in [0005]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt a wireless connection as taught by Dickie for the device of Harshberger, since hardwired and wireless connections being considered equivalent in the related art as admitted in the specification of the current application.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding independent claims 1 and 15-16, the answers to Applicants' argument which does not appear in claim 1 completely, may be found in section 2, hereinabove, the rejections to claims 1 and 2.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2835

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence


7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2038. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30 - 16:00, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Art Unit phone number is (571) 272-2800, ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2835
August 27, 2006



YEAN-HSI CHANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER